

United States Bankruptcy Court
District of NevadaIn re:
AMERICAN WEST DEVELOPMENT, INC.
DebtorCase No. 12-12349-mkn
Chapter 11**CERTIFICATE OF NOTICE**

District/off: 0978-2

User: hessma
Form ID: pdf928Page 1 of 2
Total Noticed: 2

Date Rcvd: Jul 24, 2015

Notice by first class mail was sent to the following persons/entities by the Bankruptcy Noticing Center on Jul 26, 2015.

+ANN MARIE HANSEN, BALLARD SPAHR, LLP, 100 N. CITY PARKWAY, SUITE 1750,
LAS VEGAS, NV 89106-4617
+BRETT A. AXELROD, FOX ROTHSCHILD LLP, 3800 HOWARD HUGHES PKWY, STE 500,
LAS VEGAS, NV 89169-5914

Notice by electronic transmission was sent to the following persons/entities by the Bankruptcy Noticing Center.
NONE. TOTAL: 0

***** BYPASSED RECIPIENTS *****

NONE.

TOTAL: 0

Addresses marked '+' were corrected by inserting the ZIP or replacing an incorrect ZIP.
USPS regulations require that automation-compatible mail display the correct ZIP.**I, Joseph Speetjens, declare under the penalty of perjury that I have sent the attached document to the above listed entities in the manner shown, and prepared the Certificate of Notice and that it is true and correct to the best of my information and belief.****Meeting of Creditor Notices only (Official Form 9): Pursuant to Fed. R. Bank. P. 2002(a)(1), a notice containing the complete Social Security Number (SSN) of the debtor(s) was furnished to all parties listed. This official court copy contains the redacted SSN as required by the bankruptcy rules and the Judiciary's privacy policies.**

Date: Jul 26, 2015

Signature: /s/Joseph Speetjens**CM/ECF NOTICE OF ELECTRONIC FILING**

The following persons/entities were sent notice through the court's CM/ECF electronic mail (Email) system on July 24, 2015 at the address(es) listed below:

ABRAN E. VIGIL on behalf of Creditor ZURICH AMERICAN INSURANCE COMPANY
vigila@ballardspahr.com, lvdocket@ballardspahr.com; wranghamrowec@ballardspahr.com
ASSLY SAYYAR on behalf of Other Prof. Field Law Ltd. assly@adamslawnevada.com,
james@adamslawnevada.com
ATHANASIOS E. AGELAKOPOULOS on behalf of Plaintiff TRACY HOPE DAVIS, UNITED STATES TRUSTEE
athanasios.agelakopoulos@usdoj.gov
ATHANASIOS E. AGELAKOPOULOS on behalf of U.S. Trustee U.S. TRUSTEE - LV - 11, 11
athanasios.agelakopoulos@usdoj.gov
BRETT A. AXELROD on behalf of Defendant AMERICAN WEST DEVELOPMENT, INC.
baxelrod@foxrothschild.com,
pchlum@foxrothschild.com; mwilson@foxrothschild.com; jmagee@foxrothschild.com
BRETT A. AXELROD on behalf of Defendant FAIRMONT 1, INCORPORATED baxelrod@foxrothschild.com,
pchlum@foxrothschild.com; mwilson@foxrothschild.com; jmagee@foxrothschild.com
BRETT A. AXELROD on behalf of Defendant AMERICAN WEST DEVELOPMENT GROUP, INCORPORATED
baxelrod@foxrothschild.com,
pchlum@foxrothschild.com; mwilson@foxrothschild.com; jmagee@foxrothschild.com
BRETT A. AXELROD on behalf of Defendant SILVERADO SPRINGS 1, INC. baxelrod@foxrothschild.com,
pchlum@foxrothschild.com; mwilson@foxrothschild.com; jmagee@foxrothschild.com
BRETT A. AXELROD on behalf of Debtor AMERICAN WEST DEVELOPMENT, INC.
baxelrod@foxrothschild.com,
pchlum@foxrothschild.com; mwilson@foxrothschild.com; jmagee@foxrothschild.com
BRETT A. AXELROD on behalf of Defendant DEVELOPMENT MANAGEMENT, INCORPORATED
baxelrod@foxrothschild.com,
pchlum@foxrothschild.com; mwilson@foxrothschild.com; jmagee@foxrothschild.com
BRIAN D. SHAPIRO on behalf of Creditor ISRAEL JUAREZ brian@brianshapirolaw.com,
connie@brianshapirolaw.com; ecf@brianshapirolaw.com; ana@brianshapirolaw.com
CAROL L. HARRIS on behalf of Creditor KEMP, JONES & COULTHARD, LLP c.harris@kempjones.com,
ade@kempjones.com
CHRISTINE A ROBERTS on behalf of Interested Party DOUGLAS P. WILSON Croberts@furnierlaw.com,
kdecuyper@furnierlaw.com; secretary3311@gmail.com; lramella@furnierlaw.com; scalderrone@furnierlaw.c
om
DONALD F ENNIS on behalf of Creditor CALIFORNIA BANK & TRUST donald.ennis@wellsfargo.com
DONALD H. WILLIAMS on behalf of Creditor BOMBARD MECHANICAL, LLCB
DonaldHWilliamsLaw@gmail.com, taylor sellers@gmail.com
DONALD H. WILLIAMS on behalf of Creditor GILMORE CONSTRUCTION, LLC
DonaldHWilliamsLaw@gmail.com, taylor sellers@gmail.com
DONALD H. WILLIAMS on behalf of Creditor SUNRISE PAVING, INC. DonaldHWilliamsLaw@gmail.com,
taylor sellers@gmail.com
DONALD L. GAFFNEY on behalf of Creditor CALIFORNIA BANK & TRUST dgaffney@swlaw.com,
jrogalla@swlaw.com

District/off: 0978-2

User: hessma
Form ID: pdf928Page 2 of 2
Total Noticed: 2

Date Rcvd: Jul 24, 2015

The following persons/entities were sent notice through the court's CM/ECF electronic mail (Email) system (continued)

ELIZABETH E. STEPHENS on behalf of Interested Party WILLIAM A. LEONARD, JR. stephens@shlaw.com, hill@sullivanhill.com; millerick@sullivanhill.com; dabbieri@sullivanhill.com; bkstaff@sullivanhill.com

ELIZABETH E. STEPHENS on behalf of Interested Party DOUGLAS P. WILSON stephens@shlaw.com, hill@sullivanhill.com; millerick@sullivanhill.com; dabbieri@sullivanhill.com; bkstaff@sullivanhill.com

ERIC RANSVAGE on behalf of Creditor TERESITA BATERINA agutierrez@ssllplaw.com

ERIC RANSVAGE on behalf of Creditor DOROTHY COLLINS agutierrez@ssllplaw.com

GILBERT B. WEISMAN on behalf of Creditor AMERICAN EXPRESS BANK FSB notices@becket-lee.com

JAMES PATRICK SHEA on behalf of Creditor ZURICH AMERICAN INSURANCE COMPANY jshea@armstrongteasdale.com, rfortin@armstrongteasdale.com

JON E. FIELD on behalf of Other Prof. Field Law Ltd. jon@fielddlawltd.com, mitchell.stipp@yahoo.com

MARK J. CONNOT on behalf of Defendant AMERICAN WEST DEVELOPMENT, INC. mconnot@foxrothschild.com, mmetoyer@foxrothschild.com; thansen@foxrothschild.com

MICAELA RUSTIA MOORE on behalf of Defendant AMERICAN WEST DEVELOPMENT, INC. mmoore@foxrothschild.com, pchlum@foxrothschild.com; mwilson@foxrothschild.com

MICAELA RUSTIA MOORE on behalf of Debtor AMERICAN WEST DEVELOPMENT, INC. mmoore@foxrothschild.com, pchlum@foxrothschild.com; mwilson@foxrothschild.com

MICHAEL C. VAN on behalf of Creditor Michael Cary C & H Concrete dba Coronado Concrete michael@shumwayvan.com, robin@shumwayvan.com; kamra@shumwayvan.com; steven@shumwayvan.com; rob@shumwayvan.com

OGONNA M. BROWN on behalf of Creditor KEMP, JONES & COULTHARD, LLP obrown@nevadafirm.com, apestonit@nevadafirm.com; oswibies@nevadafirm.com; agandara@nevadafirm.com

RICHARD F. HOLLEY on behalf of Creditor KEMP, JONES & COULTHARD, LLP rholley@nevadafirm.com, oatamoh@nevadafirm.com; apestonit@nevadafirm.com; oswibies@nevadafirm.com; agandara@nevadafirm.com

ROBERT R. KINAS on behalf of Creditor CALIFORNIA BANK & TRUST rkinas@swlaw.com, jmath@swlaw.com; mfull@swlaw.com; bgriffith@swlaw.com; docket_las@swlaw.com; cgianelloni@swlaw.com; nkante@swlaw.com; jvelarde@swlaw.com

ROLLIN G. THORLEY on behalf of Creditor IRS rollin.g.thorley@irs.counsel.treas.gov

RYAN M. KERBOW on behalf of Creditor SOUTHERN HIGHLANDS COMMUNITY ASSOCIATION ryan@alessikoenig.com, huong@alessikoenig.com, sara@alessikoenig.com

SUSAN G. BOSWELL on behalf of Creditor WELLS FARGO BANK, NATIONAL ASSOCIATION susan.boswell@quarles.com, kelly.webster@quarles.com; docketaz@quarles.com; sybil.aytch@quarles.com; jessica.cenedese@quarles.com

TERRY L. WIKE on behalf of Creditor ARTHUR BIRZNECK twike@wikelaw.com, jlovell@wikelaw.com; ppeery@wikelaw.com; sstone@wikelaw.com

U.S. TRUSTEE - LV - 11, 11 USTPRegion17.lv.ecf@usdoj.gov

WILLIAM C DEVINE, II on behalf of Creditor ROBIN LAU william@devinelawfirm.com, courtney@devinelawfirm.com; devinelawbkecf@gmail.com

WILLIAM C DEVINE, II on behalf of Creditor ROD JUNG william@devinelawfirm.com, courtney@devinelawfirm.com; devinelawbkecf@gmail.com

WILLIAM C DEVINE, II on behalf of Creditor DAISYLYN DELA CRUZ william@devinelawfirm.com, courtney@devinelawfirm.com; devinelawbkecf@gmail.com

WILLIAM C DEVINE, II on behalf of Creditor ROSE JUNG william@devinelawfirm.com, courtney@devinelawfirm.com; devinelawbkecf@gmail.com

WILLIAM C DEVINE, II on behalf of Plaintiff SOPHIA Y. LAU william@devinelawfirm.com, courtney@devinelawfirm.com; devinelawbkecf@gmail.com

WILLIAM C DEVINE, II on behalf of Creditor JULES CONUI william@devinelawfirm.com, courtney@devinelawfirm.com; devinelawbkecf@gmail.com

WILLIAM C DEVINE, II on behalf of Creditor SOPHIA LAU william@devinelawfirm.com, courtney@devinelawfirm.com; devinelawbkecf@gmail.com

WILLIAM C DEVINE, II on behalf of Plaintiff ROBIN H. LAU william@devinelawfirm.com, courtney@devinelawfirm.com; devinelawbkecf@gmail.com

WILLIAM C DEVINE, II on behalf of Creditor JORGE BAGTAS william@devinelawfirm.com, courtney@devinelawfirm.com; devinelawbkecf@gmail.com

WILLIAM C DEVINE, II on behalf of Creditor STEPHANIE JUNG william@devinelawfirm.com, courtney@devinelawfirm.com; devinelawbkecf@gmail.com

WILLIAM C DEVINE, II on behalf of Creditor THERESA BAGTAS william@devinelawfirm.com, courtney@devinelawfirm.com; devinelawbkecf@gmail.com

TOTAL: 48



Honorable Mike K. Nakagawa
United States Bankruptcy Judge



Entered on Docket
July 24, 2015

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

* * * * *

In re:)	Case No.: 12-12349-MKN
)	Chapter 11
AMERICAN WEST DEVELOPMENT, INC.,)	
)	Date: July 22, 2015
Debtor.)	Time: 9:30 a.m.

ORDER ON MOTION OF ZURICH AMERICAN INSURANCE COMPANY AND ITS AFFILIATE INSURERS TO DETERMINE AND DECLARE THAT THE DEBTOR’S DISCHARGE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CERTAIN IDENTIFIED NON-DEBTORS, OR, IN THE ALTERNATIVE, TO MODIFY DISCHARGE INJUNCTION¹

On July 22, 2015, the court heard the Motion of Zurich American Insurance Company and Its Affiliate Insurers to Determine and Declare that the Debtor’s Discharge Does Not Extend to Certain Identified Non-Debtors, or, in the Alternative, to Modify Discharge Injunction (“Motion”). The appearances of counsel were noted on the record. After arguments were presented, the matter was taken under submission.

BACKGROUND

On March 1, 2012, American West Development, Inc. (“Debtor”) filed a voluntary Chapter 11 petition. (ECF No. 1). On October 26, 2012, Debtor filed its first amended Chapter 11 plan of reorganization (“Plan”). (ECF No. 714).

On February 14, 2013, an order was entered confirming the Debtor’s first amended

¹ In this Order, all references to “ECF No.” are to the numbers assigned to the documents filed in the case as they appear on the docket maintained by the clerk of the court. All references to “Section” are to the provisions of the Bankruptcy Code, 11 U.S.C. §§ 101-1532. All references to “FRCP” are to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

1 Chapter 11 plan of reorganization (“Confirmation Order”). (ECF No. 853).

2 On September 5, 2013, a final decree was entered closing the case. (ECF No. 1039).

3 On June 1, 2015, the instant Motion was filed by Zurich American Insurance Company
4 (“Zurich”). (ECF No. 1056). The Motion was noticed to be heard on July 8, 2015. (ECF No.
5 1057). Zurich filed a Certificate of Service indicating the parties that were given notice of the
6 Motion. (ECF No. 1059).

7 On June 24, 2015, Debtor filed opposition to the Motion (“Opposition”) (ECF No. 1062),
8 accompanied by the Declaration of Edward Lubbers (ECF No. 1063).

9 On June 30, 2015, an order was entered approving a stipulation to continue the hearing to
10 July 22, 2015. (ECF No. 1066).

11 On July 15, 2015, Zurich filed its reply to the Debtor’s opposition (“Reply”). (ECF No.
12 1069).

13 **DISCUSSION**

14 By its Motion, Zurich seeks a determination that confirmation of the Debtor’s Plan did
15 not discharge the obligations of twenty-five non-Debtor parties regarding certain self-insured
16 retentions. Those 25 parties include individuals, corporations, limited liability companies, trusts,
17 and unspecified forms. They are identified in the Motion as:

- 18 1. American West Homes, Inc.
- 19 2. Whitney Ranch, Inc.
- 20 3. Gowan Properties, Inc.
- 21 4. El Capitan, Inc.
- 22 5. Federal Land Management, LLC
- 23 6. Cactus Sand & Gravel, Inc.
- 24 7. Pinnacle Peaks, LLC
- 25 8. West Mesa, LLC
- 26 9. Coronado Hills, LLC
- 27 10. Adaven Management, Inc.
- 28 11. Section 31, LL
12. The Canarelli Family Trust Dated September 14, 1990
13. The Lawrence and Heidi Canarelli 1993 Irrevocable Trust
14. The Stacia Leigh Lemke Irrevocable Trust
15. The Scott Lyle Graves Canarelli Irrevocable Trust
16. The Jeffrey Lawrence Graves Canarelli Irrevocable Trust
17. The Allysa Lauren Graves Canarelli Irrevocable Trust
18. Lawrence D. Canarelli
19. Heidi Canarelli
20. The SLG Canarelli 1993 Retained Annuity Trust
21. The ALG Canarelli 1993 Retained Annuity Trust

- 1 22. The SL Canarelli 1993 Retained Annuity Trust
- 2 23. The JLG Canarelli 1993 Retained Annuity Trust
- 3 24. Silverado Crossing
- 4 25. Silverado Canyone

5 See Motion at 10:18 to 11:3. Zurich alleges that it made demand for reimbursement on some or
6 all of the identified non-Debtor parties, but that the Debtor asserted that confirmation of its Plan
7 bars Zurich's claims for reimbursement. Id. at 5:5 to 9:8.² Zurich argues that the Debtor's
8 discharge does not extend to the non-Debtor entities and seeks an order from the court either
9 declaring that the discharge does not bar its claims against the specified non-Debtor parties, or
10 modifying the discharge injunction to permit Zurich to pursue its claims.

11 Debtor objects to the relief on numerous grounds. First, it maintains that Zurich is time-
12 barred from seeking revocation or modification of the Plan Confirmation Order. See Opposition
13 at 6:5 to 8:4. Second, Debtor argues that reimbursement from the non-Debtor parties of any
14 professional fees incurred in the bankruptcy proceeding are barred by the June 15, 2013 deadline
15 for professional fee requests in the Chapter 11 proceeding. Id. at 8:5-10. Third, it argues that
16 Zurich is precluded from any equitable relief under the doctrine of laches. See Opposition at
17 8:11-18. Fourth, it argues that the Motion is improper because the Chapter 11 case was closed
18 by the final decree on September 5, 2013, and Zurich never brought a motion to reopen the case
19 prior to filing the instant Motion. Id. at 8:19 to 9:16. Fifth, Debtor argues that the court lacks
20 authority under Section 105(a) to extend any applicable deadlines that already have expired. Id.
21 at 9:19 to 10:15. Sixth, it maintains that the relief requested must be sought through an
22 adversary proceeding. Id. at 10:18 to 11:14. Seventh, Debtor argues that any joint and several
23 liability of named insureds under the subject policies would include the Debtor and the Debtor's
24 discharge, therefore, prevents Zurich from pursuing the non-Debtor parties. Id. at 11:16 to
25 12:20. Finally, Debtor maintains that the court lacks jurisdiction to grant the relief requested by
26 Zurich. Id. at 13:4 to 15:19.

Zurich's response generally repeats that it is simply seeking a declaration regarding the

27 ² Attached to the Motion is the Declaration of Nancy Dow ("Dow Declaration") to
28 authenticate copies of certain exhibits, but which does not attest to any demands being made on
non-Debtor entities, nor to the Debtor's response on behalf of the non-Debtor entities.

1 scope of the Confirmation Order, rather than to extend any deadlines to obtain relief from the
2 order. See Reply at 1:24 to 3:20. It essentially asserts that an adversary proceeding is not
3 required to obtain a clarification of the Confirmation Order, see id. at 3:22-28, but does not
4 address the specific arguments raised by the Debtor..

5 The court having considered the written and oral arguments of counsel, together with the
6 record, concludes that the Motion must be denied for a variety of reasons.

7 First, Zurich has failed to provide competent evidence that the Debtor is even asserting
8 the Confirmation Order on behalf of the non-Debtor parties. The Dow Declaration makes no
9 reference to a demand being made on the non-Debtor entities nor of any response made by the
10 Debtor. It appears that the declarant has no personal knowledge whatsoever of such events.
11 Thus, the Motion fails for lack of evidence.

12 Second, Zurich has failed to demonstrate a case in controversy. No evidence has been
13 offered that a non-Debtor entity has asserted the Confirmation Order as a defense to a demand
14 for reimbursement. Even if Zurich commenced litigation against a non-Debtor party, however, a
15 bankruptcy discharge arguably is an affirmative defense that must be raised in an answer or it is
16 waived. For example, Rule 8(c) of the Nevada Rules of Civil Procedure (“NRCP”) lists the
17 affirmative defenses that must be asserted by a defendant in answering a civil complaint.
18 “Discharge in bankruptcy” is one of the defenses that NRCP 8(c) requires to be pled
19 affirmatively.³ Under Nevada law, the failure to plead an affirmative defense may result in its
20 waiver, see Webb v. Clark Cnty. School Dist., 125 Nev. 611, 619-20, 218 P.3d 1239, 1245 (Nev.
21 2009), although the trial court may allow an amendment. See Whealon v. Sterling, 121 Nev.
22 662, 665-66, 119 P.3d 1241, 1244 (Nev. 2005).⁴ Thus, to the extent that Zurich fears a non-

23
24 ³ To the extent a non-Debtor party does not assert a bankruptcy discharge as a defense,
25 but instead asserts estoppel, res judicata, waiver or some other basis for avoidance of liability,
those concepts also must be asserted as affirmative defenses under NRCP 8(c).

26 ⁴ In contrast, the requirement of asserting a bankruptcy discharge as an affirmative
27 defense in a federal civil action was eliminated from FRCP 8(c)(1) effective December 1, 2010,
28 because Section 524(a)(1) and Section 524(a)(2) voids any judgment determining the personal
liability of a debtor with respect to a discharged debt. See FED. R. CIV. P. 8 advisory
committee’s note (2010 Amendments). Even prior to the 2010 amendment, the Bankruptcy

1 Debtor entity asserting the Debtor's discharge as a defense there is no evidence that any such
2 defense would be raised by a party with standing to do so.

3 Third, Zurich's concern regarding a possible violation of the discharge injunction against
4 an act to pursue a debt as "a personal liability of the debtor" under Section 524(a)(2) ignores the
5 nature of its purported claims against the non-Debtor entities. Section 524(e) makes clear that a
6 debtor's discharge does not affect the liability of any other entity for the same debt. As such
7 non-debtor entities do not receive a discharge, the discharge injunction simply does not apply.
8 Whether a bankruptcy court order provides some other basis for relief to a non-debtor is
9 unrelated to the protection of the discharge.⁵

10 Finally, Zurich has failed to provide sufficient notice of the relief it seeks to the non-
11 Debtor entities. If in fact the non-Debtor entities are protected in some fashion by the
12 Confirmation Order or terms of the confirmed Plan, then clearly the relief sought by Zurich
13 directly affects their interests. Unfortunately, the Certificate of Service accompanying the
14 Motion does not identify which of the 25 non-Debtor entities, if any, were served.

15 For these reasons, Zurich has failed to demonstrate that the relief requested by its Motion
16 is warranted.

17 **IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED** that the Motion of Zurich American Insurance
18 Company and Its Affiliate Insurers to Determine and Declare that the Debtor's Discharge Does
19 Not Extend to Certain Identified Non-Debtors, or, in the Alternative, to Modify Discharge
20 Injunction, Docket No. 1056, be, and the same hereby is, **DENIED**.

21
22
23 Appellate Panels for this circuit concluded that the bankruptcy discharge is an absolute,
24 nonwaivable defense to postdischarge collection activity. See Lone Star Sec. & Video, Inc. v.
25 Gurrola (In re Gurrola), 328 B.R. 158, 170 (B.A.P. 9th Cir. 2005). States such as Arizona have
26 taken steps to eliminate the bankruptcy discharge as an affirmative defense in light of
27 amendments to FRCP 8(c), see, e.g., 16 A.R.S. Rules of Civil Procedure, Rule 8(c) (effective
28 January 1, 2013), but Nevada has not.

27 ⁵ At the hearing on the Motion, counsel for the Debtor correctly acknowledged that the
28 non-Debtor parties did not obtain a bankruptcy discharge of the claims asserted by Zurich. Thus,
there would be no violation of a discharge injunction.

1 Copies sent via BNC to:

2 BRETT A. AXELROD
3 FOX ROTHSCHILD LLP
3800 HOWARD HUGHES PKWY, STE 500
4 LAS VEGAS, NV 89169

5 ANN MARIE HANSEN
6 BALLARD SPAHR, LLP
100 N. CITY PARKWAY, SUITE 1750
LAS VEGAS, NV 89106

7
8 ###
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28